

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,471	10/20/2003	Tongbi Jiang	MCT.0015C2US (97-0524.04)	4162
75	590 11/08/2004		EXAM	INER
Trop, Pruner & Hu, P.C. Suite 100			TRINH, MINH N	
8554 Katy Free	way		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX			3729	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{A}	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,471	JIANG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh Trinh	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. rance except for formal mat	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 34-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subject to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected to a subjected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to a subjected t	awn from consideration. /or election requirement.	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
		·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureattached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on 8/12/04 has been fully considered and made of record.

Claims 34-38 are now pending in this application. Claims 1-33 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following are examples:
 - a) What is being referred as "the rest of said plate . . . " (see claim 34, lines 4-5).
- b) It is not known if "a plate" (claim 35, line 1) is the same as "a stencil plate" as recited in claim 1, line 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 34, 37 and 38 as best under stood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Natarajan et al (US 5,519,580).

Art Unit: 3729

Natarajan et al disclose a method of making a stencil or solder mask comprising: forming a plurality of segmental annular openings in a stencil plate to define a central portion of the plate and a plurality of spokes connecting the central portion to areas of the plate between adjacent segmental annular openings (see Figs. 2-4, defines the above features).

Limitations of claims 37-38 are also met by Natarajan et al (refer Fig. 4 and the discussion above).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 35 and 36 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natarajan et al.

Regarding the size of the plate and thickness of the spoke as recited in claims 35-36. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose any desired size, shape and thickness configurations as described above, since applicant has not disclosed that the features are critical features, and patentably distinguishing features and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the size, shape and thickness configurations as shown in the prior art reference (see Figs. 2-4 of Natarajan et al). Furthermore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to change

Art Unit: 3729

in the size of a associated opening of a work piece, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a work piece. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Limitation of claim 36 is also met by Natarajan et al.

Prior Art References

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Prior art references are cited for their teaching of method of making a stencil or mask for solder paste.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34-38 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Interviews After Final

10. Applicants note that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview in presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or

Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-2887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3729

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Trinh

Frinh 11/2/04

Primary Examiner Group 3729

mt